Part Two: Narrative Report

Note: Many of the actions instituted by the Department of Architecture to address causes of concern from the 2008 Visiting Team Report are ongoing and were noted in last year’s narrative report. These ongoing efforts are grouped under the heading of “Continuing Actions.” New efforts commencing in the 2011-12 academic year (and the current academic year 2012-13) are noted under “New Actions.” Additional information about the architecture program is reported following the responses.

Response to Visiting Team Report “Section 1.4 Conditions Not Met”
There were no “conditions not met”

Response to Visiting Team Report Conditions “Section 1.5 Causes for Concern”
There were four student performance criteria in the Visiting Team Report dated March 5, 2008 listed as “causes of concern”:

Critical Thinking Skills  
(Condition 13.2; Corresponds to 2009 Conditions A2, Design Thinking Skills)

Research Skills  
(Condition 13.4; Corresponds to 2009 Conditions A5, Investigative Skills)

Site Conditions  
(Condition 13.17; Corresponds to 2009 Conditions B4, Site Design)

Comprehensive Design  
(Condition 13.28; Corresponds to 2009 Conditions B6, Comprehensive Design)

A. Critical Thinking Skills

Visiting Team Report:
The team finds this criterion to be met, though there is room for improvement. A clear studio rigor that reinforces critically inquisitive design work is supplemented by a series of history and theory courses that are most well represented by Arch 311w. This mandatory fourth-year advanced theory course provides a comprehensive overview of seminal texts and demands
critical responses of those works verbally and in written from (sic) the students. The team strongly recommends aligning a more integrated theoretical curriculum with both the history and design curriculum.

Continuing Actions:

1. Arch 311w (a writing-intensive theory course), formerly positioned in fourth-year, was moved to third-year. By locating the theory class one year earlier in the curriculum, it is better aligned with the design curriculum content of third-year design courses (Arch 331 and 332). A portion of course content has been adjusted to promote the integration and impact of theoretical positions in affecting design choices. By offering the course in fall and spring semesters, we have maintained low enrollment in this class (20-22 students) to better enable greater student participation and a more extensive writing experience. This third-year course follows a two-course architecture history sequence in first-year and an introductory theory course required for second-year students, Arch 210 (see below for modifications in Arch 210).

2. Beginning in the fall semester of 2010, Arch 210, the introductory theory course has been substantially altered to address the need for a more integrated and focused course for architecture majors only. Formerly, the course served B.Arch. majors as well as fulfilling general education requirements for architectural engineering students and other students throughout the university. We now offer two distinct courses for these two populations, allowing the Arch 210 for majors to link more directly to the design studio curriculum (Arch 100 is now the new course number intended for the non-major students and is offered both online and in-person).

3. Professor Denise Costanzo was hired in multi-year fixed term position in 2009 with a teaching appointment for Arch 210 and Arch 100, as well as Arch 311w. Professor Costanzo holds a PhD in Architectural History and combines teaching in theory and design. Where possible, faculty members teaching the theory courses in the required curriculum also are assigned to teach design studio. We believe these dual teaching assignments facilitate the NAAB visiting team’s proposal to more fully integrate the theory curriculum with the design curriculum.

4. Professor James Cooper was hired in a tenure-track faculty position in fall 2008. Professor Cooper, PhD in architectural history, has greatly enhanced the Arch 121 Visual Communications course to emphasize the use of architectural precedent (in addition to hand-drawing skills) to support design studio in first year. While the primary curricular objective of this course is to teach drawing representation conventions, the attention to the integration of history, theory, drawing and architecture presents a new supplement to the material witnessed in the 2008 NAAB visit.

5. The Department of Architecture now indicates the primary evidence for 2009 Student Performance Criteria for A2 Design
Thinking Skills to be located in Arch 311w and Arch 491 (fifth-year thesis). Other year-level design courses, Arch 480 Technical systems Integration, and Arch 499B Architectural Analysis and Arch 499C Urban Studies (Rome) also provide evidence of Design Thinking Skills.

**New Actions:**
1. A much more expanded lecture/workshop series bridging the two departments of architecture and landscape architecture have consistently concentrated on practice as a critical agency. In this, we have emphasized the inter-relationship and the integration of theory and practice. Presentations have focused on architectural theory, bringing over 10 national and internationally leading theoreticians including most recently David Leatherbarrow, Joan Ockman, Michelangelo Sabbatino, and Peggy Deamer as well as practitioners actively employing theory in their practice, including Lewis Tsurumaki Lewis, Will Bruder Architect, and Escher Gunevardina. Recent lecture series topics including “Who Owns Design” have sought to better integrate theoretical curriculum with design practice.

2. Arch 210 is now a course that focuses explicitly on conceptual literacy by reading an extensive selection of primary theoretical texts. In addition, ARCH 311W has taken on a stronger research emphasis in recent years, as the focus is on pre-thesis investigation and conceptual development.

**B. Research Skills**

Visiting Team Report:
Minimally met. The history-theory curriculum exposes students to appropriate skills and exercises for research and the writing intensive components are administered admirably. The research components / books are a continual sub-text to many of the design studios throughout the curriculum. While there are exceptional examples of research documentation, many research documents recording design projects tend to rely heavily on simple notated graphic documentation and diagramming even at the thesis studio level. The thesis sequence would benefit with the inclusion of a research methodology module and a developed research component that supports theoretical aspirations.

Continuing Actions:
1. A newly-revised course in introductory architectural theory dedicated for B.Arch majors in second-year, Arch 210, is now taken by all students; It includes a module to facilitate the development of research skills. Similarly, Arch 311w, a writing intensive course for third-year students now instructs on research methodology. Within the fifth-year studio design thesis course (Arch 491/492), several research methods presentations guiding student research are now better regularized and integrated into the studio course. The majority of resulting work may be better described as data and information documentation and reformulation than research in the scientific sense of new knowledge.
gained or discovered. This characterization is likely true of most architectural “research” performed at an undergraduate level.

2. Three recently hired faculty members have each received their PhD’s in architectural theory, history, or computation. The addition of the new faculty greatly expands our ability to introduce research skills in stand alone theory and drawing courses as well as reinforce research in studio design courses (each of the aforementioned faculty teach in the design studio sequence).

3. The Department of Architecture locates the primary evidence for 2009 Student Performance Criteria for A5 Investigative Skills in Arch 311w and Arch 491/492. The architecture history sequence ArtH 201/202, Arch 210 and the architectural analysis class in Rome Arch 499B, provide evidence of investigative skills.

New Actions:
1. We have refined the requirements and expectations for the fifth-year thesis books (Arch 491-492). The research component of the thesis books has been strengthened to include a critical assessment of architectural issues via a rigorous literature review that demonstrably goes beyond research and design documentation.

C. Site Conditions
Visiting Team Report:
This is minimally met; the team has concern about the integration of site within the observed projects on display. An understanding of site manipulation and its implications on architectural drawings was not strongly evident in work samples. The team would recommend considering collaboration with the Landscape Architecture department.

Continuing Actions:
1. Formalized collaboration with Landscape Architecture faculty and students is now underway during the fourth-year studio sequence in Rome and University Park locations.

When students are in residence at our University Park location, students in the fourth-year studio (Arch 431/432), students work in teams engaged in urban design programs. Recent projects include design for the City of Toronto as part of the Don-Lands waterfront development masterplanned by Michael Van Valkenburgh, as well as projects in Washington, Baltimore and Philadelphia. Students are in collaborative architecture/landscape architecture teams under the direction of a jointly appointed faculty member.

2. The Hajjar Competition, a one-week competition for all third-year design students (Arch 331/332) requires the design of a residence. The competition now situates the project site on a hillside enabling students to demonstrate competency in contouring earth for
structure and hydrology and exhibiting technical drawing accuracy in describing topography.

3. A series of technical drawing exercises was added to Arch 204, the introductory materials and construction course subsequent to the past accreditation visit. The exercises introduce students to the conventions of describing landscape and architecture constructions. The sites for the small design projects are located on a hillside necessitating the re-grading of the site to allow for hydrological flow, structural retaining of earth through site and building walls, and vehicular and pedestrian access. The demonstration of drawing conventions showing topographical reconfiguration is required. The intention of the drawings exercises is to better prepare students to manipulate sites of a larger scale in third-year design studio projects.

4. The Department of Architecture situates the primary evidence for 2009 Student Performance Criteria for B4 Site Design to be located in Arch 331 and 332. The second year design courses Arch 231/232 and the material and construction courses Arch 203/204 also demonstrate evidence of Site Design.

New Actions:
1. The relocation of Penn State’s Landscape Architecture study abroad program from Rome to Bonn starting in spring 2012 will result in the collaborative studios and competitions to be centered at our University Park campus.

2. The newly-appointed Chair of Design Innovation in the Stuckeman School, Ray Gastil, has been a leader in urban design both in the city planning agencies in New York City and Seattle and as director of the Van Allen Institute. Starting in fall 2011, Professor Gastil is teaching urban design studios to interdisciplinary teams of architecture and landscape architecture students. For spring 2012 students will be engaged in a multi-university design project in New York City organized by Mary Miss and a research project studying the affect of campuses on urban design.

3. ARCH 231, second year design studio, is decisively assigning a site of extreme topographic change to reinforce the issue of sculpting of land, and the interdependence of buildings and their situational contexts.

D. Comprehensive Design
Visiting Team Report:
Minimally met. The comprehensive design experience has been developed through two parts of the curriculum, specifically, the third-year design studio experience in a controlled format and the thesis project in the fifth year. While there is a concerted effort to deliver the comprehensive project factors (structural and environmental systems, building envelope systems, life safety provisions, wall sections and building assemblies, and the principles of
sustainability) through controlled exercises during the third year there is little evidence that there are similar specific exercises during the fifth year, except through some of the course content. Put another way, the third year concentrates on certain aspects of comprehensive building codes, zoning, site, tax increments, etc. while the various thesis projects, with their diverse interests and goals, failed to collectively illustrate each of the factors outlined as comprehensive criteria. It may prove beneficial to add comprehensive criteria to the fourth year or devise a more explicit process of illustrating the comprehensive project factors during the thesis year.

Continuing Actions:

1. For the 2010-11 academic year the primary evidence for 2009 Student Performance Criteria for B6 Comprehensive Design (consisting of criteria A2, A4, A5, A8, A9, B2, B3, B4, B5, B8, B9) is located in Arch 491/492 (Fifth-year Thesis Studio) and Arch 480 (Building Systems Integration) with supporting evidence in Arch 331/332, third-year studio (See below under “New Actions” for changes starting in 2011-12).

   For the past two academic years, we have taken a dual approach in addressing the concerns of the 2008 NAAB team. First, in third-year design studio, we have focused greater attention on building systems integration through curricular adjustments stressing environmentally-conscious, performance-based design and teaching methods prioritizing architectural engineering design input early in the process. Secondly, we have augmented fifth-year thesis design studio with greater technical support from the professional practice and building systems courses offered concurrently.

2. Commencing in spring 2010, the third-year design studio (Arch 332) curriculum now emphasizes building systems integration and sustainable building practices. Performance-based sustainability software evaluation tools (Ecotect) and Revit is employed across the studio level to heighten awareness and representation of design responses to environmental forces and building systems. At Penn State engineering faculty outside of our department teach environmental systems, lighting, structures (and other building systems); We continue to look for methods of encouraging more integrated teaching methods. We have made improvements through greater engagement of the engineering faculty through design critiques early in the design phases. Architectural faculty with stronger interests in building systems integration are now guiding the third-year studio work and we have hired an adjunct faculty member and local practitioner expressly to support the comprehensive design integration goals.

   The faculty committee CECA (Committee for Environmentally-Conscious Architecture) has developed new curricular approaches to meeting some of the student performance criteria (specifically 2009 SPC B3 Sustainability and B8 Environmental Systems) involving Comprehensive Design.
In fall 2010, the Department of Architecture hosted a conference on building integrated wind power, a research interest of several faculty members and a technology supported by Penn State as a purchaser of energy. The third-year design studio, Arch 331, utilized the focus of this research in the design of a museum in Erie, PA.

3. Fifth-year faculty working in close alignment with Arch 480 (Building Systems Integration) coursework have instituted greater explicit demonstration of comprehensive design factors (taught in the spring semester, the course runs concurrently to the second-half of the thesis studio). We believe the specific exercises currently being executed as part of Arch 480 can be more clearly defined and delineated in the thesis graphic representations and text. It is our analysis over the past two years that thesis projects in 2009 and 2010 demonstrated modest improvements in the design and display of comprehensive design.

4. Arch 451, Professional Practice now includes modules on legal restrictions, zoning and building codes targeted for application in each student’s individual thesis design during the initial design phases in the fall semester. Professor Bob Holland, a 25-year project manager for the Disney Corporation is now the instructor for this course. His considerable experience in the legal constraints of design is now directly employed in the fifth-year projects.

5. The Department of Architecture lecture series has expanded over the past two and one-half years allowing for numerous lectures with themes of performance-based design, building systems integration and varied sustainability interests. Over 40 lectures and workshops have been offered that include content identified in Comprehensive Design.

6. For the past few years, and continuing this year, five fifth-year B.Arch students have participated in the interdisciplinary BIM/Integrated Project Delivery course to meet the requirements of comprehensive design. Previous to this year, only M.Arch architecture students have been able to take the course. Current space, scheduling and hardware limitations limit participation to a total of 30 students including five architecture students.

7. The Department recently received the highest award for the 2010 AIA Building Information Modeling Award “ Integrating BIM in Academia” and the 2011 NCARB Integration of Education and Practice Honorable Mention award. The awards are in recognition of the high quality and unique interdisciplinary mix of students we teach in collaboration with Architectural Engineering and Landscape Architecture. The course combines all four of the primary engineering disciplines with architects and landscape architects. Faculty from across the disciplines lead the class and are assisted by practicing architects and engineers. We are
currently studying means to increase student participation in the course. Scheduling conflicts across the six disciplines and an adequate quantity of collaborative work environments are the greatest obstacles.

8. In spring 2011, Ulrich Knaack, Professor Design of Construction and Building Technology at the Delft University of Technology, was appointed the inaugural Eleanor R. Stuckeman Visiting Professor. Professor Knaack primary responsibilities included advising students in Arch 332 (third-year design) and Arch 492 (fifth-year design) on building enclosure design. He conducted a series of lectures and workshops on building envelopes culminating in full-scale mock-up constructions of building façade portions by fifth-year students. Additional lectures and workshops were provided by his colleagues Marcel Bilow and Tillman Klein, also from TU Delft. Although Professor Knaack’s has returned to TU Delft, he has left behind an “eco-system” of building technology that still encourages aspects of comprehensive design that include building envelopes, environmental design and sustainability.

New Actions:
1. Commencing in the academic year 2011-12, the primary evidence for 2009 Student Performance Criteria for B6 Comprehensive Design (consisting of criteria A2, A4, A5, A8, A9, B2, B3, B4, B5, B8, B9) was located in Arch 331/332 (Third-year design studio) as well as Arch 491/492 (Fifth-year Thesis Studio) with supporting evidence from Arch 480 (Building Systems Integration). The switch of the comprehensive design to an earlier year level was recommended in the 2008 Visiting Team Report as a means of better regulating the type of comprehensive design project students executed and allowing the fifth-year projects greater latitude for research and design investigation. After a thorough analysis of the results at the end of the third year in Spring 2012, the faculty of the Department decided to add a semester to the comprehensive design sequence. Beginning 2012-13, the students are in a three-semester comprehensive design sequence, ARCH 331, 332, and 431/432. In each semester, the level of complexity and detail is increased. For the next two years through 2013-14, the three-semester sequence and fifth-year studio classes will be designated as comprehensive design to assure all current students have met this requirement. Assuming a successful accreditation review of comprehensive design criterion in 2013-14, it is projected that the fifth year thesis will concentrate on research questions and moving forward the three-semester sequence will satisfy the comprehensive design criterion.

2. The content of Arch 431 has been changed to reflect the NAAB visiting team’s recommendation to move comprehensive design into the fourth year. Beginning this year, comprehensive design is taught in a 3-semester sequence of increasing complexity, starting in the Fall of the third year, continuing into the Spring, and
culminating in the fourth year studio. The curricular changes in 431 and the close coordination with Arch 480: "Building Systems Integration" directly address comprehensive design. ARCH 480 is re-organized with a series of "synthesis charrette" exercises developed to assure that all students are receiving consistent exposure and opportunity to understand the issues of comprehensive design.

3. While we have already added the comprehensive design criteria to the fourth-year to further strengthen our studio sequence vis-a-vis this performance criterion. We are also giving careful attention to the comprehensive design component in fifth year by demonstrating unambiguously the close integration of Arch 480 and Arch 492 in the spring semester. We intend to provide stronger evidence of close integration of building systems and building assemblies that already happens through Arch 480 through various exercises and related assignments as part of ongoing studio work and Design Thesis development. These exercises include structural, environmental, and building envelop systems, life safety and sustainability as an integral part of design development.

4. During Spring 2012 all third-year students attended a day-long demonstration of fire safety testing at FM Global’s national headquarters in Rhode Island. In conjunction with a lecture the previous week, students were presented with the demonstrations on the affects of architectural design on life safety and property insurance.

Notable Program Changes

Administrative and Staff Changes
Over the past four years since the 2008 NAAB visit, the Department of Architecture has undergone significant changes to the administrative structure and funding opportunities as a result of $20 million endowment to the Stuckeman School of Architecture and Landscape Architecture.

The Department was administratively reorganized into the Stuckeman School with our sister program in Landscape Architecture in 2009 and the permanent school director, Nathaniel Belcher, has been in office since July 2010. In 2011, the Graphic Design program joined the Stuckeman School.

A new governance document has been adopted creating much greater centralized decision-making through the Director and faculty representatives on the School Council. The Council acts to advise the Director on matters concerning the school and its endowment and any shared departmental facilities and curricular issues. The Council first convened in fall 2010. Promotion and tenure activities remain autonomous at the department level, but also include review at the Director’s level. An outside Stuckeman School Advisory Board has been formed and first convened in November 2011. The advisory committee includes practitioners and educators in architecture, landscape architecture and graphic design with the charge to advise the
Director on matters critical to the education and practice of the disciplines housed within the School.

The Department of Architecture searched for and hired a new permanent department head. The new head, Mehrdad Hadighi, formerly of SUNY Buffalo, began his appointment on January 1, 2012. An open faculty line for design computing was filled beginning Fall 2012 with the appointment of Professor Daniel Cardoso, a Ph. D. from MIT. In addition, two new multi-year fixed term appointments were made, one at the associate professor level, and another at the assistant professor level. This brings the total of our multi-year fixed-term appointments to four faculty.

Several administrative changes have been made over the previous year. The six former architecture staff positions are now classified as Stuckeman School staff positions with duties that have expanded beyond the department and include other students and programs in the School. All staff now report to the Director and coordinated by the Assistant to the Director staff position. The changed staff members include two woodshop personnel, three office staff and one academic advisor. One staff position was eliminated in 2010 due to budget cuts. One department position was shifted to an all-school budget coordinator. Another department position was shifted to include all reception and travel coordination for the school. The department retains one “program assistant” with primary duties to administer the academic programs. The department academic advisor responsibilities have grown to include oversight of all Stuckeman School students. The two woodshop personnel are now responsible for overseeing students in both architecture and landscape architecture (until this year the department woodshop was accessible to only architecture students). One half-time woodshop position has been funded from temporary funds to accommodate the greatly expanded student numbers. In addition, one three quarter-time Stuckeman School communications coordinator started in 2011-12, and has now been joined by a half-time graphic designer, to coordinate all communications from the School. The two staff positions oversee communication through the school and departmental websites, press releases and e-newsletters.

In previous year’s reports we noted that staffing was in a transitional phase. This year, the structural changes have been made, and the duties have been mostly sorted. The staffing issues apparent in previous reports have been sorted and the current staff is in full control of their reign.

**Budget**

The Department of Architecture’s permanent budget lines allocated directly from the College of Arts and Architecture received a 2% permanent funding cut for 2011-12 ($40K) and a 1% permanent cut for 2012-13 ($20K). The permanent cuts have been made through savings generated by consolidating staff positions (not faculty) as a result of the formation of the Stuckeman School. In the current economic picture, we consider both amounts to be manageable, given the gains through the Stuckeman endowment.
The Stuckeman Endowment is fully vested, and this year marks the first year where the new director, the two new department heads, and the endowment are all present. We have been having extensive discussions amongst us, as well as the faculty and the School Council regarding the future uses of the endowment funds. The endowment funds are directed to three distinct directions, the Stuckeman Professorships, the Stuckeman Center for Design Computing, and Collaborative Design Research. This year, all seven Stuckeman Professorships have been filled. One of the Professorships was split between the two Department Heads to support departmental initiatives. The remaining six are:

1. Stuckeman Chair in Design Innovation: The inaugural Chair in Design Innovation is Ray Gastil, former city planning director for Seattle, Washington, and the borough of Manhattan in New York City. Earlier, he was founding director of Van Alen Institute: Projects in Public Architecture, an internationally recognized program. Gastil’s current research projects include studying the interrelated urban design and planning of cities and universities. Gastil joined Stuckeman in fall 2011 and will stay through spring 2013.

2. Eleanor R. Stuckeman Chair in Design: Professor John Dixon Hunt is professor emeritus of the history and theory of landscape, Department of Landscape Architecture, University of Pennsylvania. Although Professor Hunt isn’t staying through a complete academic year, he will work full-time at the Stuckeman School in the spring 2013 and spring 2014. He edits the journal Studies in the History of Gardens & Designed Landscapes; edits the Penn Studies in Landscape Architecture, in which thirty volumes have so far appeared; and has written more than a dozen books, including The Afterlife of Gardens; Nature Over Again: The Garden Art of Ian Hamilton Finlay; Venetian City Garden: Place, Typology, and Perception, which won the J.B. Jackson Prize from the Foundation for Landscape Studies in 2010; and his most recent book, A World of Gardens.

3. Stuckeman Professorship in Interdisciplinary Design was awarded to Professor Eliza Pennypacker in the Department of Landscape Architecture at Penn State.

4. Stuckeman Professorship in Advanced Design Studies was awarded to Professor James Wines in the Department of Architecture at Penn State. He is the founder and creative director of SITE, a New York City-based, multidisciplinary architecture and environmental arts organization.

5. Stuckeman Career Development Professorships in Design was awarded to Professor Vanessa Miriam Carlow. She is the co-founder of COBE (www.cobe.dk). The Danish-German office is internationally known for the masterplan for the conversion of the Northern Harbour in Copenhagen and the development plan for Copenhagen University. COBE won the Golden Lion at the 10th International Architecture Exhibition at the 2006 Venice Biennale for its contribution to the Danish Pavilion. Carlow was recently granted the professorship
for Sustainable Urbanism at the University of Technology Brunswick, Germany, and consults the City of Berlin on hosting its third International Building Exhibition—IBA Berlin 2020. She holds a master of art in urban management from five European universities, and a doctorate from the Royal Danish Academy of Fine Arts in Copenhagen.

6. Stuckeman Practitioner Instructor was awarded to Professor Eric Sutherland, who has worked for twelve years in international architectural offices on projects in Europe, Asia, and the Middle East. In 1994, he helped launch OMA Asia in Hong Kong (now named RAD). A former professor in the Department of Architecture at the State University of New York at Buffalo, he worked on campus projects that were completed with students as part of a hands-on architecture curriculum. One of the projects, designed with Kent Kleinman, won a Progressive Architecture Award in 2000. Sutherland came to New York in 2003 to work on the World Trade Center Masterplan, and worked at Studio Daniel Libeskind for more than eight years. More recently, he led the design of the Run Run Shaw Creative Media Centre at the City University of Hong Kong, which received a Hong Kong Institute of Architects Merit Award in 2012.

In addition to new faculty positions, the endowment generates income earmarked for faculty-led design computing and collaborative design research. Faculty research grants are available to draw from this funding. The funds are also being utilized for strategic initiatives driven by each department’s strategic plan. The Stuckeman endowment also supports student travel, visiting critics, final reviews, and the lecturer series.

Curriculum
In November 2011, the Department of Architecture faculty approved formation of an extension of the current post-professional Master of Architecture program. The proposal was reviewed within Penn State’s Graduate School and approved. The new professional M.Arch track is a 98-credit, seven-semester (3+) course of study. We will enroll the inaugural cohort of students in fall 2013. The National Architectural Accrediting Board has accepted this program as eligible for candidacy status. A visit for initial candidacy is scheduled for fall 2013. The program projects an initial cohort of 10-15 students per year-level with an ultimate size of 20-30 students per year. The program is designed to accommodate “4+2” students seeking an accredited M.Arch degree and have met appropriate preparatory professional courses. There are no anticipated changes to the current five-year B.Arch program as a result of new proposed M.Arch.

The Department of Architecture has been approved by Penn State’s Graduate School to offer a PhD in Architecture to complement our existing M.Arch post-professional degree and B.Arch accredited degree. The program has been and will remain on hold as an all-university curriculum review has placed a moratorium on most new PhD programs and existing programs with low enrollments.
Over the past three years, our Rome study abroad program “Sede di Roma” formerly taught by Penn State faculty the past 15 years has evolved into a third-party provider entitled the Pantheon Institute. While the faculty remain the same, the Pantheon Institute is able to offer additional housing and curricular options to our students by leveraging the inclusion of multiple schools. After three years of operation as a third-party entity, we have seen improved studio and housing facilities and greater course options. We are continuing to monitor the program changes to ensure the historical excellence of the program is maintained. Student surveys continue to report strong support for the program through the change of operation.

The Department of Architecture hosted the 2012 National Conference on the Beginning Design Student in March 2012. The conference featured perspectives on beginning design across multiple design fields including graphic design, engineering, landscape architecture as well as architecture.

Admissions
The accredited B.Arch program attracts approximately 800-900 applicants per year (including freshman admittances reported in Part I and change of major and transfer students) and are ranked academically at the very top end of all Penn State applicants. Currently, portfolios are required for all transfer students applying from other schools outside Penn State and change-of-major students from Penn State students enrolled in programs outside architecture. The University is experiencing lower applicant numbers across all its disciplines, and the Department of Architecture is tracking behind last year’s applicant numbers as well.